

BELYI MAPS AND DESSINS D'ENFANTS

LECTURE 3

SAM SCHIAVONE

CONTENTS

I. Review	1
II. Examples of projective plane curves	1
III. More complex analysis: singularities and Laurent series	2
III.1. Laurent series	2
III.2. Singularities and meromorphic functions	3
IV. Morphisms of Riemann surfaces	4
IV.1. Definition and first examples	4
IV.2. Fundamental results on morphisms	6
IV.3. Order of vanishing and multiplicity	7

I. REVIEW

Last time we:

- (1) Defined affine plane curves as vanishing sets in \mathbb{A}^2 of irreducible polynomials, and projective plane curves as vanishing sets in \mathbb{P}^2 of irreducible *homogeneous* polynomials.
- (2) Showed that a plane curve can be given the structure of a Riemann surface. More precisely,

Proposition 1. *Let $X : F(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0$ be a nonsingular projective plane curve, where $F \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$ is homogeneous. Then X is a compact, connected Riemann surface. Moreover, at every point of X one can take a ratio of the homogeneous coordinates as a local coordinate.*

II. EXAMPLES OF PROJECTIVE PLANE CURVES

Example 2.

- (1) (Elliptic curves) An elliptic curve over a field k is a smooth projective plane curve given by an equation of the form

$$Y^2Z + a_1XYZ + a_3YZ^2 = X^3 + a_2X^2Z + a_4XZ^2 + a_6Z^3$$

with $a_i \in k$. Such an equation is called a Weierstrass equation. Over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ or 3 (like \mathbb{C} , for instance), one can make a change of variable and obtain a short Weierstrass equation of the form

$$Y^2Z = X^3 + aXZ^2 + bZ^3.$$

This is the closure in \mathbb{P}^2 of the affine elliptic curve given by $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, where we embed \mathbb{A}^2 in \mathbb{P}^2 as the standard affine open U_2 where $Z \neq 0$. A curve given by a short Weierstrass equation as above is smooth iff $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$. (This is the negative of the discriminant of the cubic $x^3 + ax + b$.)

(2) (Fermat curves) A Fermat curve is a projective plane curve given by an equation of the form

$$X^d + Y^d = Z^d$$

for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Again it is the closure of the affine Fermat curve $x^d + y^d = 1$ in \mathbb{P}^2 .

III. MORE COMPLEX ANALYSIS: SINGULARITIES AND LAURENT SERIES

III.1. Laurent series.

Definition 3. Fix $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. A Laurent series centered at z_0 is a doubly infinite series of the form

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n = \cdots + \frac{a_{-2}}{(z - z_0)^2} + \frac{a_{-1}}{z - z_0} + a_0 + a_1(z - z_0) + a_2(z - z_0)^2 + \cdots$$

where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

A Laurent series converges on an annulus, i.e., a region of the form

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_I < |z - z_0| < \rho_O\}$$

for some nonnegative real numbers $\rho_I < \rho_O$. (As with Taylor series, it may also converge on subsets of the inner or outer boundary.) Note that in the extreme case where $\rho_I = 0$, the set is a punctured disc

$$D = D^*(z_0, \rho_O) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z - z_0| < \rho_O\}$$

and when $\rho_O = \infty$, the set is the complement of a disc:

$$D = \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D(z_0, \rho_I)} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \rho_I < |z - z_0|\}.$$

Theorem 4. Suppose that f is holomorphic on an annulus

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : a < |z - z_0| < b\}$$

where $0 \leq a < b \leq \infty$. Then f can be represented by a Laurent series on D , i.e., there exist coefficients a_n such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$$

for all $z \in D$. Moreover, this representation is unique: the coefficients a_n are given by

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-z_0|=r} \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}} dz$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < r < b$.

Example 5.

(1) Since $e^z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then $e^{1/z}$ is holomorphic on $D = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and has Laurent series

$$e^{1/z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!z^n} = 1 + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{2!z^2} + \dots$$

or equivalently,

$$e^{1/z} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 \frac{z^n}{(-n)!}.$$

(2) $f(z) = 1/z$ is holomorphic on the annulus $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z - 1|\}$ and has Laurent series

$$\frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{1 + (z - 1)} = \frac{1}{z - 1} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{z-1} + 1}$$

Since $1 < |z - 1| \iff \frac{1}{|z - 1|} < 1$, then we can expand this as a geometric series:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{z} &= \frac{1}{z - 1} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{z-1}} = \frac{1}{z - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{z - 1}\right)^n = \frac{1}{z - 1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 (-1)^n (z - 1)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 (-1)^n (z - 1)^{n-1} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (-1)^{n+1} (z - 1)^n = \frac{1}{z - 1} - \frac{1}{(z - 1)^2} + \frac{1}{(z - 1)^3} - \dots \end{aligned}$$

III.2. Singularities and meromorphic functions. There are 3 types of singularities that can occur: removable singularities, poles, and essential singularities.

Fix $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and suppose that f is holomorphic on the punctured disc $D^* := D^*(z_0, r)$, but is not differentiable at z_0 . Then f can be represented uniquely as a

Laurent series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ on D^* .

- (Removable). If $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$, then f has a removable singularity at z_0 . Thus its Laurent series is really just a Taylor series. It's removable in that, if we simply redefine $f(z_0) = a_0$, then f becomes differentiable at z_0 and hence analytic on the whole disc $D(z_0, r)$.
- (Pole). If $a_n \neq 0$ for at least one, but only finitely many $n < 0$, then f has a pole at z_0 . Then its Laurent series has only a finite tail on the lefthand side. Thus there exists a positive integer m such that $a_{-m} \neq 0$ but $a_{-n} = 0$ for all $n > m$, so the Laurent series is of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{a_{-m}}{(z - z_0)^m} + \dots + \frac{a_{-1}}{z - z_0} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n.$$

With this notation, we say that f has a pole of order m at z_0 .

- (Essential). If $a_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many $n < 0$, then f has an essential singularity at z_0 . The behavior of a function near an essential singularity is wild!

Theorem 6 (Casorati-Weierstrass). *Suppose f is analytic on the punctured disc $D^* := D^*(z_0, r)$ and has an essential singularity at z_0 . Then $f(D^*)$ is dense in \mathbb{C} .*

Theorem 7 (Picard's Little Theorem). *Suppose f is analytic on the punctured disc $D^* := D^*(z_0, r)$ and has an essential singularity at z_0 . Then $f(D^*)$ is either all of \mathbb{C} , or \mathbb{C} minus a single point.*

Remark 8. There's even Picard's Great Theorem, which states that f takes on all these values infinitely often!

Definition 9. The singular part or principal part of f at z_0 is the Laurent tail consisting of all terms with negative powers:

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} a_n(z - z_0)^n$$

Example 10. z/z has a removable singularity at $z = 0$, $1/z$ has a simple pole at $z = 0$, and $e^{1/z}$ has an essential singularity at $z = 0$.

Definition 11. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open. A function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is meromorphic on U if f has at no point of U worse than a pole, i.e., no essential singularities.

Proposition 12. *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be meromorphic. Then there exist holomorphic functions $g, h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = g/h$.*

Remark 13. Let $\mathcal{O}(U)$ and $\mathcal{M}(U)$ be the set of holomorphic and meromorphic functions, respectively, on U . The above proposition shows that $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}(U)) = \mathcal{M}(U)$. (Technically only one implication, but the other is easier.)

Theorem 14 (Discreteness of zeroes and poles). *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a domain and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Then the sets of zeroes and poles of f are discrete subsets of U .*

Proof. If the sets of zeroes of f had a limit point, then f would be constant by the Identity Theorem, contradiction. \square

IV. MORPHISMS OF RIEMANN SURFACES

IV.1. Definition and first examples. We now extend the idea of holomorphicity to Riemann surfaces by defining it locally using coordinate charts.

Definition 15. Let X be a Riemann surface. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic (resp., meromorphic) if for any coordinate map $\varphi : U \rightarrow \hat{U} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, the function $f \circ \varphi^{-1} : \hat{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic (resp., meromorphic).

Given $U \subseteq X$ open, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_X(U) &:= \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is holomorphic}\} \\ \mathcal{M}_X(U) &:= \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is meromorphic}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The set of all meromorphic functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a field called the function field and is denoted $\mathcal{M}(X)$ or $\mathbb{C}(X)$.

Definition 16. A morphism or holomorphic map between Riemann surfaces X_1 and X_2 is a continuous map $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ such that $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is holomorphic for any choice of coordinate φ on X_1 and ψ on X_2 for which the composition is defined. The set of all morphisms $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is denoted by $\text{Mor}(X_1, X_2)$.

A holomorphic map with a holomorphic inverse is an isomorphism of Riemann surfaces, and an isomorphism from a Riemann surface X to itself is an automorphism. The set of automorphisms of X forms a group, denoted by $\text{Aut}(X)$.

Example 17. Here are some examples of morphisms of Riemann surfaces.

(1) Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, define $f : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z^n & \text{if } z \neq \infty \\ \infty & \text{if } z = \infty. \end{cases}$$

(2) Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{P}^1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \\ [X : Y] &\mapsto [X^n : Y^n]. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $E : Y^2Z = X^3 + Z^3$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} f : E &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \\ [X : Y : Z] &\mapsto [X : Z]. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Similarly, let $C : X^3 + Y^3 = Z^3$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} f : C &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \\ [X : Y : Z] &\mapsto [X : Z]. \end{aligned}$$

Example 18.

(1) \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{D} are isomorphic as Riemann surfaces. Such an isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \\ z &\mapsto \frac{z-i}{z+i}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) \mathbb{C} and \mathfrak{D} are not isomorphic. In fact $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{D})$ consists only of the constant maps.

(3) \mathbb{S}^2 , \mathbb{P}^1 , and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ are isomorphic via the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^1 &\rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \\ [z_0 : z_1] &\mapsto z_1/z_0 \\ [0 : 1] &\mapsto \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^2 &\rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \\ (x, y, t) &\mapsto \frac{x+iy}{1-t} \\ (0, 0, 1) &\mapsto \infty. \end{aligned}$$

IV.2. Fundamental results on morphisms.

Proposition 19. *Let X and Y be compact, connected Riemann surfaces. Then every nonconstant morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective.*

Proof. By the open mapping theorem, $f(X)$ is open. And since $f(X)$ is compact and Y is Hausdorff, then $f(X)$ is also closed. Since Y is connected, then $f(X) = Y$. \square

Proposition 20. *Let X be a Riemann surface and denote by $c_P \in \text{Mor}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ the constant morphism $c_P(x) = P$ for all x . Then*

$$\mathcal{M}(X) = \text{Mor}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{C}}) \setminus \{c_\infty\}.$$

Proposition 21. $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{C}(z)$, the field of rational functions in one variable.

Proposition 22. *Let X be a compact, connected Riemann surface. Then every holomorphic function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a constant map.*

Proof. Composing with the inclusion $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, we obtain the morphism $\iota \circ f : X \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Since nonconstant maps of compact, connected Riemann surfaces are surjective and $\infty \notin \text{img}(\iota \circ f)$, then $\iota \circ f$, and hence f , must be constant. \square

Proposition 23. *The automorphism groups of \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathbb{C} are:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) &= \left\{ z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}, ad - bc \neq 0 \right\} \\ &\cong \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \\ \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}) &= \{z \mapsto az + b : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let f be a meromorphic function on \mathbb{P}^1 . Identifying \mathbb{P}^1 and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ by the isomorphism given above, then we can consider f as a meromorphic function on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. By Proposition 21, then f is a rational function in z , hence can be written

$$f(z) = \lambda \frac{(z - b_1) \cdots (z - b_m)}{(z - a_1) \cdots (z - a_n)}.$$

In order for f to be bijective, both the numerator and denominator must have degree ≤ 1 . Thus

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

for some a, b, c, d . Moreover, since f is invertible, one can show that $ad - bc \neq 0$. A straightforward computation shows that composition of Möbius transformations corresponds to matrix multiplication, so there is a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \left(z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of φ consists of the center

$$Z(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} : \mu \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\}$$

Since φ is surjective, we have

$$\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) / Z(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1).$$

Given $M \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(M)}} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(M)}} \end{pmatrix} M$$

defines the same element of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and has determinant 1. This shows that $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, where

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) / Z(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) / \{\pm I\}.$$

Let $f \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$. We claim that f extends to an automorphism of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. It suffices to show that f cannot have an essential singularity at ∞ . If f has an essential singularity at ∞ , then $f(\{|z| > 1\})$ is dense in \mathbb{C} . But since f is injective, then $f(\{|z| < 1\})$ and $f(\{|z| > 1\})$ are disjoint, contradicting denseness of the latter.

Thus f extends to an automorphism of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, so f is of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

But since $f(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, then f has no poles in \mathbb{C} , so $c = 0$. □

IV.3. Order of vanishing and multiplicity.

Definition 24. Let X be a Riemann surface, $P \in X$, and $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be a meromorphic function. Let φ be a centered coordinate map at P , so $\varphi(P) = 0$. Then f can be represented by the Laurent series $f \circ \varphi^{-1}(z) = \sum_n a_n z^n$. The order (of vanishing) of f at P , denoted by $\mathrm{ord}_P(f)$ is the smallest n such that $a_n \neq 0$:

$$\mathrm{ord}_P(f) := \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : a_n \neq 0\}.$$

If $\mathrm{ord}_P(f) \geq 1$, then f has a zero of order n at P and if $\mathrm{ord}_P(f) = -n < 0$, then f has a pole of order n at P .

Remark 25. One can show that the order is independent of the choice of coordinate chart.

Lemma 1. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be meromorphic functions on a Riemann surface X . Then

- (1) $\mathrm{ord}_P(fg) = \mathrm{ord}_P(f) + \mathrm{ord}_P(g)$;
- (2) $\mathrm{ord}_P(1/f) = -\mathrm{ord}_P(f)$;
- (3) $\mathrm{ord}_P(f + g) \geq \min\{\mathrm{ord}_P(f), \mathrm{ord}_P(g)\}$.

Remark 26. This shows that ord_P is a discrete valuation on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ for each point P .

Definition 27. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of Riemann surfaces, $P \in X$. Let ψ be a chart of Y centered at $f(P)$, so $\psi(f(P)) = 0$. Then

$$m_P(f) := \mathrm{ord}_P(\psi \circ f)$$

is the multiplicity of f at P . Equivalently,

$$m_P(f) = 1 + \mathrm{ord}_P(\psi \circ f)'$$

whether ψ is a centered chart or not.

If $m_P(f) \geq 2$, then $P \in X$ is ramification point or branch point of f , with ramification index $m_P(f)$. A branch value is the image of a ramification point. Equivalently, we say that f is ramified above $Q \in Y$ if there is some $P \in f^{-1}(Q)$ with $m_P(f) \geq 2$ and f is ramified at $P \in X$ if $P \in X$ and $m_P(f) \geq 2$.